JENNIFER S. ROMANO (SBN 195953) jromano@crowell.com ANDREW HOLMER (SBN 268864) aholmer@crowell.com CROWELL & MORING LLP 515 South Flower Street, 40 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 622-4750 Facsimile: (213) 622-2690 THOMAS F. KOEGEL (SBN 125852)					
aholmer@crowell.com CROWELL & MORING LLP 515 South Flower Street, 40 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 622-4750 Facsimile: (213) 622-2690					
515 South Flower Street, 40 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 622-4750 Facsimile: (213) 622-2690					
Telephone: (213) 622-4750 Facsimile: (213) 622-2690 THOMAS F. KOEGEL (GDN 125052)					
THOMAS E WOEGEL (CDN 125052)					
6 THOMAS F. KOEGEL (SBN 125852)					
tkoegel@crowell.com					
7 NATHANIEL P. BUALAT (SBN 226917) nbualat@crowell.com					
8 CROWELL & MORING LLP 3 Embarcadero Center, 26 th Floor					
9 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: 415.986.2800					
10 Facsimile: 415.986.2827					
APRIL N. ROSS (admitted pro hac vice) aross@crowell.com					
12 CROWELL & MORING LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.					
Washington, D.C. 20004-2595 Telephone: (202) 624-2500					
Facsimile: (202) 628-5116 Attorneys for Defendant					
Attorneys for Defendant UNITED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH					
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
18					
DAVID AND NATASHA WIT, et al., Case No. 3:14-CV-02346-JCS Related Case No. 3:14-CV-05337-JCS					
20 Plaintiffs,					
21 v. STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDI STAYING ENFORCEMENT OF THE					
22 UNITED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH, COURT'S ORDER RE ATTORNEYS' FE AND COSTS (ECF No. 569)					
Defendant. GARY ALEYANDER et al.					
GARY ALEXANDER, et al., Plaintiffs,					
26 V. LINITED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH					
27 UNITED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH, Defendant.					
28 Defendant.					

STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER STAYING ENFORCEMENT OF THE COURT'S FEE ORDER CASE NOS. 3:14-CV-02346-JCS, 3:14-CV-05337-JCS

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the Parties in the above-captioned				
2	action, by and through their respective counsel of record, as follows:				
3	WHEREAS, the Court entered a Remedies Order in this action on November 3, 2020				
4	("Remedies Order," ECF No. 491) and entered judgment in favor of Plaintiffs on February 2,				
5	2021 ("Judgment" ECF No. 532);				
6	WHEREAS, UBH has appealed the Remedies Order and the Judgment to the Ninth				
7	Circuit Court of Appeals in consolidated Ninth Circuit Case Nos. 20-17363, 20-17364, 21-15193				
8	and 21-15194 (collectively, the "Merits Appeal");				
9	WHEREAS, the Merits Appeal is currently submitted to the Ninth Circuit for decision;				
10	WHEREAS, on January 5, 2022, the Court entered a post-judgment Order Granting in				
11	Part and Denying in Part Plaintiffs' Petition for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, awarding Plaintiffs				
12	attorneys' fees and costs in the total sum of \$19,628,071.88 (the "Fee Order", ECF No. 569);				
13	WHEREAS, UBH intends to timely appeal the Court's Fee Order to the Ninth Circuit				
14	Court of Appeals (the "Fee Appeal");				
15	WHEREAS, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 62(b), UBH has secured a bond				
16	in favor of the named Plaintiffs in these related actions for the total sum of \$24,535,089.85 (the				
17	"Bond") pending the outcome of the forthcoming Fee Appeal, which represents 125 per cent of				
18	the total award of fees and costs set forth in the Fee Order;				
19	WHEREAS, a copy of the executed Bond is attached hereto as Exhibit A;				
20	WHEREAS, the Parties agree that the amount of the Bond is sufficient for the purposes of				
21	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 62(b) to stay enforcement of the Fee Order pending resolution of				
22	UBH's forthcoming Fee Appeal;				
23	WHEREAS, the Parties agree that the Bond should be approved by the Court pursuant to				
24	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 62(b);				
25	THEREFORE, the Parties stipulate and agree as follows:				
26	1. The Bond, attached hereto as Exhibit A, should be approved by the Court pursuant				
27	to Federal Rule Civil 62(b);				
28	2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 62(b) and the Court's inherent				

1	authority, enforcement of the Fee Order shall be stayed pending resolution of				
2	UBH's forthcoming Fee Appeal.				
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.				
4					
5	Dated: February 4, 2022		CKERMAN SPAEDER LLP		
6		<u>/s/</u>	Caroline E. Reynolds		
7			Caroline E. Reynolds Adam Abelson		
8			Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
9	Dated: February 4, 2022	CR	OWELL & MORING LLP		
10		<u>/s/</u>	Jennifer S. Romano		
11			Jennifer S. Romano		
12	April N. Ross Nathaniel P. Bualat Andrew Holmer Attorneys for Defendant United Behavioral Health				
13					
14					
15	ATTESTATION Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories.				
16					
17					
18					
19	Dated: February 4, 2022	<u>/s</u>	<i>Jennifer S. Romano</i> Jennifer S. Romano		
20			Jennifer S. Romano		
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		-2-	STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER STAYING ENFORCEMENT OF THE COURT'S FEE ORDER		

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Bond, attached hereto as Exhibit A, is hereby approved pursuant to Federal Rule Civil 62(b);
- 2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 62(b) and the Court's inherent authority, enforcement of the Fee Order shall be stayed pending resolution of UBH's forthcoming Fee Appeal.

Date: February 7, 2022

The Honorable Joseph C. Spero Chief United States Magistrate Judge

CA